00481

1980/01/02

## SECRETARISHED

SCC Heeting, January 2, 1980 Afghanistan: Harold Brown's Trip to China

Current plans for Secretary Brown's trip to China were outlined in his December 29 memo to President Carter. Essentially, they contemplate the following steps of relevance to the current Soviet invasion of Afghanistan:

- -- Public acknowledgement that we are consulting with the PRC about the Afghanistan situation;
- facilitating support for Afghan insurgents and steps to bolster the security
- establishment, establishment of special communications facilities for conveying messages between Vashington and Beijing during crises, and conveying the expanded Sino-US defense cooperation in the future:
- product of a normal political relationship. Current plans call for leaving on the record Vice-President Mondale's disclaiments of US interest in a formal security relationship, no arms sales).

Should we wish to go beyond this in order to force the Soviets to pay a higher short-term price for their recent actions, we might consider the following measures:

- i. Process on-coing mechanism for continued bino-US consultations concerning Aighanistan, e.g., announcement of early follow-up discussions in Beljing or Washington between senior State and Defense officials and PRC counterparts concerned with the Southwest Asian security issues.
- 2. Publicly state that Dr. Brown would explore ways to coordinate US and Chinese support for Pakistan in light of the hreat posed to Pakistan's security. This would go beyond discussion to act we cooperation and would imply that further cooperation was possible. Specifically, we could seek Chinese agreement to permit overflights of aircraft and equipment being delivered to Pakistan by the U.S. This would provide a more direct route for such deliveries from the US west coast than around Southeast Asia, and would permit us to help transport Chinese military aid to Pakistan as well.
- 3. Link Soviet aggression against Afghanistan to a decision to differentiate between the USSR and the PRC on technology transfers in announcing the Landsat D decision in Beljing. This could still pose some difficulties within COCON. However, given Soviet actions in Afghanistan, it is unlikely to precipitate the kind of Congressional backlash on the US-PRC Trade Agreement that was earlier feared. Subsequent visible steps to engage the Western Europeans and Japanese in discussions of this issue could also serve to remind the

Doclassifyon: OADR

BROCKSSIFIED

Onclassified Released on 7/35/56 3 under provisions of F.O. 1705 In R. Soubers, Hational Security Comp.

**MULASSIFIED** 

Soviets that their actions in Afghanistan could facilitate growing security cooperation among the US, Japan, China, and NATO.

- emphasize that we will sell arms relither to the PRC nor the USSR. Under the circumstances, we might indicate that given Soviet willingness to use arms against its neighbors and our own stake in a strong, secure, and friency ent to China.
- 5. Adjust our policy on third country arms sales from one of acquiencesces to one of active encouragement. The effect of such a shift, however, is uncertain as Third Country sales are stalled as such by Chinese indecision as European reluctance. Horeover, if our allies see this as a softening of the US position with the likelihood of eventual US arms sales to Chine, they sales.
- 6. If pressed by the Chinese to sell specific military equipment.

  Initiate (and publicize) a review of the policy implications of such sales.

  Since the PRC has in the past registered interest in various US arms, incleding F-16s, one cannot rule this out. However, there are such sensitive and discuss involved, that we should take care not to rush into decisions that have not been carefully analyzed not only for their impact on US-Soviet and Simples relations, but their consequences for our long-standing allies as well.